



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/797,994

03/11/2004

Tadd H. Giles

13768.783.75

5167

47973 7590 03/18/2008  
WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT  
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

ZHANG, SHIRLEY X

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2144

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/18/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/797,994	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GILES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SHIRLEY X. ZHANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2144	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shirley X. Zhang. (3) Rick Nydegger.  
 (2) Abdullahi Salad. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: newly proposed claims 35 and 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bilansky (US 2003/0172118) and Sherman (US 6,647,409).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented new claims 35 and 36, which seem to distinguish over the prior art of record. A decision regarding patentability of the claimed invention will be made upon further search of prior art and consideration of the formal amendments to be submitted by the applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
 SPE, Art Unit 2144

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required